| 1  |                       |                                     |   |
|----|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 2  |                       | UNITED STATES I<br>NORTHERN DISTRIC | CT OF CALIFORNIA  |
| 3  |                       | (SAN JOSE)                          | DIVISION)   |
| 4  | GPNE Corp.,           | Plaintiff,                          |   |
| 5  | v.                    |                                     |   |
| 6  | APPLE INC.            | D.C. 1.                             |   |
| 7  | GPNE Corp.,           | Defendant.                          | Case No. 5:12-cv-02   |
| 8  |                       | Plaintiff,                          | Case No. 5:12-cv-02<br>Case No. 5:12-cv-03<br>Case No. 5:12-cv-03 |
| 9  | V.                    |                                     | Case No. 5:12-cv-03   |
| 10 | AMAZON.COM, INC       | C.,<br>Defendant.                   | EXHIBIT B TO TH   |
| 11 | GPNE Corp.,           | Plaintiff,                          | CLAIM CONSTRU<br>PREHEARING ST                                    |
| 12 | V.                    | ,                                   | DEFENDANT'S PACONSTRUCTIONS EVIDENCE                              |
| 13 | NOKIA CORP. AND       |                                     | EVIDENCE  |
| 14 | GPNE Corp.,           | Defendants.                         |   |
| 15 | GIAE Corp.,           | Plaintiff,                          |   |
| 16 | <br> PANTECH CO., LTD | v.<br>AND PANTECH                   |   |
| 17 | WIRELESS, INĆ.,       | Defendants.                         |   |
| 18 |                       |                                     |   |
| 19 |                       |                                     |   |
| 20 |                       |                                     |   |
| 21 |                       |                                     |   |
| 22 |                       |                                     |   |
| 23 |                       |                                     |   |
| 24 |                       |                                     |   |
| 25 |                       |                                     |   |
| 26 |                       |                                     |   |
| 27 |                       |                                     |   |
| 28 |                       |                                     |   |

Case No. 5:12-cv-02885-LHK Case No. 5:12-cv-03055-LHK Case No. 5:12-cv-03056-LHK Case No. 5:12-cv-03057-LHK

EXHIBIT B TO THE PARTIES JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT – DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE

### 

# 

# 

### 

# 

### 

### 

### 

# 

### 

### 

### 

### 

# 

### 

### 

### 

# 

#### MOST SIGNIFICANT CLAIM TERMS

#### **IDENTIFIED FOR CONSTRUCTION AND BRIEFING**

| Claim Term   | Case<br>Dis. <sup>1</sup> | Clm.<br>Dis. <sup>2</sup> | Defendants' Proposed Construction   | Supporting Evidence <sup>3</sup>  |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| 1. allocation of additional resources for transmitting the data packets / allocation of additional resources for transmitting the first data packets |                           | Y                         | assignment of a second dedicated frequency to the same node for transmitting the message, while retaining the assigned time slot for transmitting the "reserve access request signal" | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 2:1-9; 2:60-61; 4:44-56; 5:64-6:20; 6:57-7:4; 8:34-41; Fig. 6; Fig. 9; Fig. 13.  File History for USP 7,031,716 (App. 09/847,005), Sep. 27, 2004 Summary of 9/23/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3; Sep. 28, 2004 Summary of 9/24/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3.  App. No. 95/002,350, Jan. 27, 2013 Resp. to Office Action.  Extrinsic Support:  Merriam-Webster Collegiate Dictionary (10th ed. 1993) at 13 (defining "additional");  The IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms (6th ed. 1996) at 23-24 (defining "allocation"); 431 (defining "frequency allocation"). |
| 2. clocking signal   |                           | Y                         | a signal generated<br>by a clock unit   | <b>Intrinsic Support</b> : '267 at Fig 1; Fig. 2; Figs. 5-9; Fig. 13; 2:1; 2:60-61; 3:27-29; 3:63-67; 4:8-10; 4:33-35; 4:47-56; 4:67-5:2;   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A **Y** in this column indicates that at least one Defendant believes this term is case dispositive for GPNE's case against it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A **Y** in this column indicates that at least one Defendant believes this term is claim dispositive for at least one patent claim asserted against it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Because the patents-in-suit share the same specification, Defendants' citations to the  $\Box 267$  patent should be understood to include the corresponding citations in the  $\Box 492$  and  $\Box 954$  patents. In addition, citations to the figures in the patent should be understood to include the text in the specification associated with such figures, and vice versa.

Exhibit B to the Parties' Pat. L.R. 4-3 Statement – Defs.' Proposed Constructions & Supporting Evidence

| 1 2                                      | Claim Term   | Case<br>Dis. <sup>1</sup> | Clm.<br>Dis. <sup>2</sup> | Defendants'<br>Proposed<br>Construction                | Supporting Evidence <sup>3</sup>   |
|--|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 3 4                                      |  |                           |                           |  | 6:5-10; 6:60-7:4; 8:25-26; 8:53-<br>55; 9:62-65; 10:24-29; 10:60-64;<br>11:23-28.  |
| 5<br>6<br>7                              |  |                           |                           |  | File History for the '267 Patent, Oct. 17, 2008 Amendment, Rule 131 Declaration, at Ex. F, at 13; id. at Ex. P, at 2, 5-6; id., at Ex. D, at 3.  |
| 8 9                                      |  |                           |                           |  | File History for the '954 Patent, Sep. 19, 2008 Rejection, at 3; <i>id.</i> , Dec. 19, 2008 Amendment, at 17.  |
| 10<br>11                                 |  |                           |                           |  | File History for the '267 Patent, Feb. 24, 2009 Amendment, at 20.  |
| 12<br>13                                 |  |                           |                           |  | File History for USP 7,031,716,<br>Aug. 17, 2004 Amendment, Mr.<br>Wood Declaration, at 5; <i>id.</i> , Sep.   |
| 14   15   16   17                        |  |                           |                           |  | 27, 2004 Summary of 9/23/2004<br>Examiner Interview, at 3; <i>id.</i> ,<br>Sep. 28, 2004 Summary of<br>9/24/2004 Examiner Interview,<br>at 2-3; <i>id.</i> , Oct. 6, 2004<br>Summary of 9/28/2004<br>Examiner Interview, at 2. |
| 18                                       | 3. count value                                     |                           |                           | the number of consecutively                            | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 5:25-30.  |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 19 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$ |  |                           |                           | related packets<br>emanating from a                    | File History for the □267 Patent,  |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$ |  |                           |                           | transmitter  | February 24, 2009 Response to Office Action.   |
| $_{22}\parallel$                         | 4. first grant signal                              |                           | Y                         | [first grant signal]                                   | Intrinsic Support: '267 at   |
| 23                                       | including information relating to an allocation of |                           |                           | including information                                  | 11:56-12:2; 12:67-13:15.   |
| 24                                       | a second slot to the first                         |                           |                           | identifying a slot                                     |  |
| 25                                       | node for transmitting the reserve access request   |                           |                           | to use for transmitting the                            |  |
| 26   <br>27                              | signal   |                           |                           | 'reserve access<br>request signal,'<br>which the first |  |

| 1        | Claim Term   | Case              | Clm.              | Defendants'                        | Supporting Evidence <sup>3</sup>  |
|----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 2        |              | Dis. <sup>1</sup> | Dis. <sup>2</sup> | Proposed<br>Construction           |   |
| 3        |              |                   |                   | node identifies as intended for it |   |
| 4        |              |                   |                   | because the                        |   |
| 5        |              |                   |                   | information is transmitted in the  |   |
| 6        |              |                   |                   | same timeslot within which the     |   |
| 7        |              |                   |                   | first node transmitted the         |   |
| 8        |              |                   |                   | 'random access request signal'     |   |
| 9        |              |                   |                   |                                    |   |
| 10       | 5. frequency | Y                 | Y                 | oscillation rate of a radio wave   | <b>Intrinsic Support</b> : '267 at Figs. 1-4; Figs. 6-11; Fig. 13; 1:55-62; |
| 11       |              |                   |                   |                                    | 1:66-2:9; 2:10-17; 3:3-7; 3:27-<br>32; 3:54-62; 4:31-56; 4:63-5:2;          |
| 12       |              |                   |                   |                                    | 5:56-6:7; 6:11-15; 6:21-23; 6:30-<br>45; 6:57-7:4; 7:15-17; 7:28-31;        |
| 13       |              |                   |                   |                                    | 8:34-41; 8:62-9:9; 9:16-52; 9:60-   |
| 14       |              |                   |                   |                                    | 10:29; 10:38-64; 11:6-19; 11:23-<br>26; 11:34-49; 11:52-58; 12:3-34;        |
| 15       |              |                   |                   |                                    | 12:51-55; 12:58-61; 12:66-<br>13:15; 13:28-35; 13:50-53;                    |
| 16       |              |                   |                   |                                    | 13:58-67; 14:1-32.  |
| 17<br>18 |              |                   |                   |                                    | File History for the '492 Patent, Jul. 19, 2010 Amendment, at 27.           |
| 19       |              |                   |                   |                                    | File History for USP 7,031,716,   |
| 20       |              |                   |                   |                                    | Mar. 31, 2004 Amendment, at 5; <i>id.</i> , Sep. 28, 2004 Summary of        |
| 21       |              |                   |                   |                                    | 9/24/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3; <i>id.</i> , Sep. 27, 2004            |
| 22       |              |                   |                   |                                    | Summary of 9/23/2004  |
| 23       |              |                   |                   |                                    | Examiner Interview, at 2-3.   |
| 24       |              |                   |                   |                                    | Extrinsic Support:  |
| 25       |              |                   |                   |                                    | The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and                          |
| 26       |              |                   |                   |                                    | Electronics Terms, IEEE Std<br>100-1992, Fifth Edition                      |
| 27       |              |                   |                   |                                    | (defining "frequency,"  |

| 1 2 | Claim Term  | Case<br>Dis. <sup>1</sup> | Clm.<br>Dis. <sup>2</sup> | Defendants' Proposed Construction   | Supporting Evidence <sup>3</sup>  |
|-----|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 3   |   |                           |                           |                                     | "frequency band," "frequency bands").                                     |
| 5   |   |                           |                           |                                     | IBM Dictionary of Computer 288 (10th ed. 1994) (defining                  |
| 6   |   |                           |                           |                                     | "frequency" and "frequency band").  |
| 7   | 6. interface  | Y                         | Y                         | Electrical                          | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 3:63-  |
| 8   | [configured/controlled] by                          | 1                         | •                         | connections (e.g.,                  | 4:3, 4:14-19, 7:65-8:7, 8:47-52,  |
| 9   | the at least one processor to [functional language] |                           |                           | wires or interconnect) that         | 10:50-59, 12:25-31, 13:43-46;<br>Figs. 1-2, 7-8.                          |
| 10  |   |                           |                           | allow signals to pass between the   | File History for the '267 Patent,   |
| 11  |   |                           |                           | processor and a                     | Oct. 17, 2008 Office Action   |
| 12  |   |                           |                           | transceiver (i.e., transmitter /    | Response; <i>id</i> . at Ex. P to October 13, 2008 Declaration Of Gabriel |
| 13  |   |                           |                           | receiver components).               | Wong Under Rule 131, which is a May 31, 1994 letter from                  |
| 14  |   |                           |                           | ,                                   | named inventor Gabriel Wong to  |
| 15  |   |                           |                           | The functional language             | patent attorney H. Warren Burnam Jr.                                      |
| 16  |   |                           |                           | purportedly describing how          | Extrinsic Support:  |
| 17  |   |                           |                           | the interface is                    | Microsoft Computer Dictionary   |
| 18  |   |                           |                           | controlled or configured            | 279 (5th Ed. 2002) (defining  |
| 19  |   |                           |                           | imparts no structure to the         | "interface")  |
| 20  |   |                           |                           | interface and,                      | Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the                       |
|     |   |                           |                           | therefore, is entitled to no        | English Language 993 (1996) (defining "interface")                        |
| 21  |   |                           |                           | patentable weight in distinguishing |   |
| 22  |   |                           |                           | the prior art.                      | Oxford Dictionary of Computing 229 (3rd Ed. 1991) (defining               |
| 23  |   |                           |                           | Alternatively, if                   | "interface")  |
| 24  |   |                           |                           | accorded patentable weight,         | Novell's Dictionary of  |
| 25  |   |                           |                           | this functional                     | Networking 283 (1997) (defining "interface")                              |
| 26  |   |                           |                           | language renders the claims         | Webster's New World   |
| 27  |   |                           |                           | indefinite as                       | Dictionary of Computer Terms  |

| 1        | Claim Term | Case              | Clm.              | Defendants'                                     | Supporting Evidence <sup>3</sup>  |
|----------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|---|
| 2        |            | Dis. <sup>1</sup> | Dis. <sup>2</sup> | Proposed<br>Construction                        |   |
| 3        |            |                   |                   | hybrid apparatus / method claims.               | 285 (8th Ed. 2000) (defining "interface")   |
| 5 6      |            |                   |                   | The Nokia Defendants do not believe this phrase | Newton's Telecom Dictionary,<br>7th Edition, Apr. 1994 (defining<br>"interface")                      |
| 7        |            |                   |                   | needs to be construed.                          | Testimony of C.R. Baugh. Mr.  |
| 8        |            |                   |                   |   | Baugh will provide written and/or oral testimony regarding  |
| 9        |            |                   |                   |   | the meaning of the term interface<br>and any structure imparted by<br>the surrounding claim language. |
| 10       |            |                   |                   |   | Mr. Baugh will render his opinion on:   |
| 12       |            |                   |                   |   | The level of ordinary   |
| 13       |            |                   |                   |   | skill in the art in or about<br>June 1994;  |
| 14       |            |                   |                   |   | • the meaning of the term "interface," as recited in  |
| 15<br>16 |            |                   |                   |   | the asserted claims;  |
| 17       |            |                   |                   |   | <ul> <li>the meaning of the<br/>phrases "interface</li> </ul>   |
| 18       |            |                   |                   |   | [configured / controlled] by the at least one   |
| 19       |            |                   |                   |   | processor to [functional language]," as recited in  |
| 20   21  |            |                   |                   |   | the asserted claims;  |
| 22       |            |                   |                   |   | <ul> <li>that the functional<br/>language in the claims<br/>(e.g., "transmit a random</li> </ul>      |
| 23       |            |                   |                   |   | access request signal in a first slot, the random   |
| 24       |            |                   |                   |   | access request signal including information   |
| 25       |            |                   |                   |   | that allows determination<br>that the first node  |
| 26<br>27 |            |                   |                   |   | requires an allocation of resources to transmit a   |

| 1 2         | Claim Term           | Case<br>Dis. <sup>1</sup> | Clm.<br>Dis. <sup>2</sup> | Defendants'<br>Proposed<br>Construction                | Supporting Evidence <sup>3</sup>  |
|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| 3<br>4<br>5 |                      |                           |                           |  | reserve access request signal") imparts no particular structure to the claimed interface.   |
| 6           | 7. node              | Y                         | Y                         | a pager operating independently of a telephone network | Intrinsic Support: '267 at Figs. 1-11; 1:31-33; 1:34-2:61; 2:66-67; 3:3-9; 3:16-26; 3:32-35; 3:45-4:7; 4:20-27; 4:31-41; 4:44-59; 5:2-4; 5:5-11; 5:12-15; 5:31-6:30; 6:33-51; 6:54-56; 6:60-7:7; 7:15-17; 7:28-45; 7:48-52; 7:60-8:4; 8:31-37; 8:53-55; 8:62-9:9; 9:13-18; 9:53-56; 9:65-10:2; 10:35-45; 10:54-67; 11:6-12:16; 12:37-50; 12:58-64; 13:1-7; 13:10-57; 13:65-67; 14:14-16; 14:25-40; 14:55-57.  File History for the '267 Patent, Oct. 17, 2008 Amendment, Rule 131 Declaration; id. at Ex. F, at 10; id. at Ex. F, at 23; id. at Ex. L.  Extrinsic Support:  GPNE_MPM_003487; GPNE_MPM_005980; GPNE_MPM_005980; GPNE_MPM_006112. |
| 20   <br>21 | 8. providing code to | Y                         | Y                         | which is currently supplying code to                   | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 7:28-8:26; Fig. 5.  Extrinsic Support:   |
| 22          |                      |                           |                           |  |   |
| 23          |                      |                           |                           |  | Concise Oxford English Dictionary, Eleventh Edition,  |
| 24          |                      |                           |                           |  | 2004 (defining "provide" and "participle" and Appendix 11   |
| 25          |                      |                           |                           |  | Guide to Good English,  |
| 26   <br>27 |                      |                           |                           |  | Participles, p1702). Webster's II<br>New Riverside Dictionary,<br>Revised Edition, 1996 (defining   |

#### Case 5:12-cv-02885-LHK Document 66-2 Filed 03/01/13 Page 8 of 14

| Claim Term                        | Case<br>Dis. <sup>1</sup> | Clm.<br>Dis. <sup>2</sup> | Defendants'<br>Proposed<br>Construction                 | Supporting Evidence <sup>3</sup>       |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
|                                   |                           |                           |   | "provide" and "participle").           |
| 9. randomly generated information |                           | Y                         | identification of<br>the randomly<br>selected time slot | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 11:56-12:2. |

Exhibit B to the Parties' Pat. L.R. 4-3 Statement – Defs.' Proposed Constructions & Supporting Evidence

### Exhibit B to the Parties' Pat. L.R. 4-3 Statement – Defs.' Proposed Constructions & Supporting Evidence

#### **ADDITIONAL CLAIM TERMS**

#### **DEFENDANTS IDENTIFIED FOR CONSTRUCTION**

| Claim Term  | Case<br>Dis. <sup>4</sup> | Clm.<br>Dis. <sup>5</sup> | Defendants' Proposed Construction   | Supporting Evidence <sup>6</sup>  |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| 10. aligning signal   |                           | Y                         | Same as "clocking signal"   | Same as "clocking signal"   |
| 11. allocation of resources to transmit a reserve access request signal |                           | Y                         | assignment of a<br>time slot on a<br>single dedicated<br>frequency to one<br>node to transmit a<br>"reserve access<br>request signal" | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 2:1-9; 2:60-61; 4:44-56; 5:64-6:20; 6:57-7:4; 8:34-41; 12:12-21; 13:23-38; Fig. 6; Fig. 9; Fig. 13.  File History for USP 7,031,716 (App. 09/847,005), Sep. 27, 2004 Summary of 9/23/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3; Sep. 28, 2004 Summary of 9/24/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3. |
| 12. authorization signal  |                           | Y                         | assignment of a dedicated frequency to the node for transmitting the communication message  | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 5:64-6:20; 6:57-7:15; 8:38-41; Fig. 6; Fig. 9.  File History for USP 7,031,716 (App. 09/847,005), Sep. 27, 2004 Summary of 9/23/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3; Sep. 28, 2004 Summary of 9/24/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3.  |
| 13. configured by   |                           | Y                         | which is currently setup for operation in a   | <b>Intrinsic Support:</b> '492 at claims 11, 12, 22, 41, 49, 59 and   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A **Y** in this column indicates that at least one Defendant believes this term is case dispositive for GPNE's case against it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A Y in this column indicates that at least one Defendant believes this term is claim dispositive for at least one patent claim asserted against it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Because the patents-in-suit share the same specification, Defendants' citations to the  $\Box 267$  patent should be understood to include the corresponding citations in the  $\Box 492$  and  $\Box 954$  patents. In addition, citations to the figures in the patent should be understood to include the text in the specification associated with such figures, and vice versa.

| 1 2                                    | Claim Term        | Case<br>Dis. <sup>4</sup> | Clm.<br>Dis. <sup>5</sup> | Defendants' Proposed Construction       | Supporting Evidence <sup>6</sup>                                       |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 3                                      |                   |                           |                           | particular way by                       | 68. Figs. 4-6, 9-10.   |
| 4                                      |                   |                           |                           |   | Extrinsic Support:   |
| 5                                      |                   |                           |                           |   |  |
| 6                                      |                   |                           |                           |   | Concise Oxford English Dictionary, Eleventh Edition,                   |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ |                   |                           |                           |   | 2004 (defining "configure"). Webster's II New Riverside                |
| 8                                      |                   |                           |                           |   | Dictionary, Revised Edition, 1996 (defining "configure").              |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$ |                   |                           |                           |   | Cowan, Ron, The Teacher's  |
| 10                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Grammar of English, Cambridge University Press 2008                    |
|  |                   |                           |                           |   | ("Omission of Nonsubject<br>Relative Pronouns"). Hacker,               |
| 11                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Diana, Rules for Writers Sixth   |
| 12                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Edition, Bedford/St. Martins 2008, p. 233, p. 555.                     |
| 13                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Casagrande, June, It Was the Best of Sentences, It Was the             |
| 14                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Worst of Sentences, Ten Speed  |
| 15                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Press 2010, p. 78.   |
| 16  <br>17                             | 14. controlled by |                           | Y                         | which is currently under the control of | <b>Intrinsic Support:</b> '267 at 12:61-65; 13:53-65; Figs. 4-6, 9-10. |
| 18                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Extrinsic Support:   |
| 19                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Concise Oxford English   |
| 20                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Dictionary, Eleventh Edition, 2004 (defining "control").               |
| 21                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Webster's II New Riverside Dictionary, Revised Edition,                |
| 22                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | 1996 (defining "control").   |
| 23                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Cowan, Ron, The Teacher's Grammar of English, Cambridge                |
| 24                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | University Press 2008 ("Omission of Nonsubject                         |
| 25                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Relative Pronouns"). Hacker,<br>Diana, Rules for Writers Sixth         |
| 26                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | Edition, Bedford/St. Martins   |
| 27                                     |                   |                           |                           |   | 2008, p. 233, p. 555.  |

| 2                          | Claim Term  | Case<br>Dis. <sup>4</sup> | Clm.<br>Dis. <sup>5</sup> | Defendants'<br>Proposed<br>Construction  | Supporting Evidence <sup>6</sup>  |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| 3   <br>4   <br>5          |   |                           |                           |  | Casagrande, June, It Was the<br>Best of Sentences, It Was the<br>Worst of Sentences, Ten Speed<br>Press 2010, p. 78.  |
| 6                          | 15. first grant   |                           | Y                         | an assignment to a node of a timeslot, on a single dedicated frequency, and referencing the time slot at which the node made its request | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 2:7-9; 2:60-61; 4:44-56; 5:64-6:20; 6:57-7:4; 8:34-41; 12:12-21; 13:23-37; Fig. 6; Fig. 9; Fig. 13.  File History for USP 7,031,716 (App. 09/847,005), Sep. 27, 2004 Summary of 9/23/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3; Sep. 28, 2004 Summary of 9/24/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3. |
| .3<br>.4<br>.5<br>.6<br>.7 | 16. first request signal  |                           | Y                         | a request made on<br>a single dedicated<br>frequency in a<br>time slot assigned<br>to a node   | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 2:1-9; 2:60-61; 4:44-56; 5:64-6:20; 6:57-7:4; 8:34-41; Fig. 6; Fig. 9; Fig. 13.  File History for USP 7,031,716 (App. 09/847,005), Sep. 27, 2004 Summary of 9/23/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3; Sep. 28, 2004 Summary of 9/24/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3.                     |
| 9<br>20<br>21              | 17. information relating to an allocation of additional resources |                           | Y                         | indefinite   | Intrinsic Support: N/A  |
| 22   23   24   25   26     | 18. message / communication message                               |                           |                           | an alphanumeric<br>message entered<br>by a user  | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 1:35-51, 5:12-26, 5:64-6:37, 6:46-56, 7:9-15, 7:18-27; Figs. 4-6, 9.  File History for the '267 patent, Abstract of Wong and Tsui, witnessed and signed on January 31, 1994, "Features of System" at ¶¶ 2, 6   |

| 1  | Claim Term                      | Case              | Clm.              | Defendants'                       | Supporting Evidence <sup>6</sup>                                   |
|----|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 2  |                                 | Dis. <sup>4</sup> | Dis. <sup>5</sup> | Proposed Construction             |  |
| 3  | 19. random access request       |                   | Y                 | a request for                     | Intrinsic Support: '267 at   |
| 4  | signal                          |                   |                   | access,<br>transmitted on a       | 11:34-51; File History of the '492 Patent, Aug. 19, 2009           |
| 5  |                                 |                   |                   | single dedicated frequency in a   | Response to Office Action, at 25-26.                               |
| 6  |                                 |                   |                   | random time slot                  | File History for USP 7,031,716                                     |
| 7  |                                 |                   |                   |                                   | (App. 09/847,005), Sep. 27,<br>2004 Summary of 9/23/2004           |
| 8  |                                 |                   |                   |                                   | Examiner Interview, at 2-3; Sep. 28, 2004 Summary of 9/24/2004     |
| 9  |                                 |                   |                   |                                   | Examiner Interview, at 2-3.  |
| 10 | 20. reserve request signal      |                   | Y                 | a request made on                 | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 2:1-                                    |
| 11 | / reserve access request signal |                   |                   | a single dedicated frequency in a | 9; 2:60-61; 4:44-56; 5:64-6:20; 6:57-7:4; 8:34-41; Fig. 6; Fig. 9; |
| 12 |                                 |                   |                   | time slot assigned to a node      | Fig. 13.   |
| 13 |                                 |                   |                   | to a node                         | File History for USP 7,031,716                                     |
| 14 |                                 |                   |                   |                                   | (App. 09/847,005), Sep. 27,<br>2004 Summary of 9/23/2004           |
| 15 |                                 |                   |                   |                                   | Examiner Interview, at 2-3; Sep. 28, 2004 Summary of 9/24/2004     |
| 16 |                                 |                   |                   |                                   | Examiner Interview, at 2-3.  |
| 17 | 21. second grant                |                   | Y                 | an assignment of a                | <b>Intrinsic Support:</b> '267 at                                  |
| 18 |                                 |                   |                   | second dedicated frequency to a   | 4:44-56; 5:64-6:20; 6:57-7:15;<br>8:38-41; Fig. 6; Fig. 9.         |
| 19 |                                 |                   |                   | node for transmitting a           | File History for USP 7,031,716                                     |
| 20 |                                 |                   |                   | message                           | (App. 09/847,005), Sep. 27,<br>2004 Summary of 9/23/2004           |
| 21 |                                 |                   |                   |                                   | Examiner Interview, at 2-3; Sep.                                   |
| 22 |                                 |                   |                   |                                   | 28, 2004 Summary of 9/24/2004 Examiner Interview, at 2-3.          |
| 23 | 22. subsequent                  |                   |                   | confirmation                      | Intrinsic Support: '267 at   |
| 24 | acknowledgement                 |                   |                   | message for which                 | 5:64-6:37; 8:62-9:9; Fig. 6.                                       |
| 25 |                                 |                   |                   | the sender has requested and      |  |
| 26 |                                 |                   |                   | been granted access to send,      |  |
| 27 |                                 |                   |                   | this access is in                 |  |

| Claim Term  | Case<br>Dis. <sup>4</sup> | Clm.<br>Dis. <sup>5</sup> | Defendants'<br>Proposed<br>Construction   | Supporting Evidence <sup>6</sup>   |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
|   |                           |                           | addition to the access previously granted to send the message   |  |
| 23. terminal indication information   |                           |                           | user-entered<br>message<br>termination<br>character at the<br>end of a message                                  | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 5:20-30; File History of the '492 Patent, Aug. 19, 2009 Response to Office Action.  |
| 24. touch sensitive display input device  |                           | Y                         | a display that both<br>displays<br>information and<br>accepts input by<br>sensing pressure<br>applied by a user | Intrinsic Support: '267 at Fig. 8; 10:65-11:2.  Extrinsic Support:  Webster's New World Dictionary of Computer Terms 536 (8th Ed. 2000) (defining "touch-sensitive display")  The Computer Glossary 517 (6th Ed. 1993) (defining "touch screen") |
| 25. transmit the first data packets in response to the second grant signal / transmit the data packets in response to the second grant signal |                           | Y                         | send the message<br>directly following<br>the second grant<br>signal without any<br>intervening<br>signals      | <b>Intrinsic Support</b> : '267 at 4:44-56; 5:64-6:20; 6:57-7:15; 8:38-41; Fig. 6; Fig. 9.   |
| 26. transmit the first request signalwhen the first node has a communication message to transmit  |                           | Y                         | transmit the first request signal every time the first node has a communication                                 | Intrinsic Support: '267 at 5:12-30; 5:66-6:11; 6:12-14; 6:21-28; 6:46-67; 7:1-4; 7:5-7; 7:18-27; 8:62-9:9; Fig. 4; Fig. 6; Fig. 12; Fig. 13.   |
|   |                           |                           | message to<br>transmit  | File History for the '267 Patent,<br>Oct. 17, 2008 Amendment, Rule<br>131 Declaration, Ex. D, at 2.  |
|   |                           |                           |   | File History for USP 7,031,716, Aug. 17, 2004 Amendment, at 2-   |

#### Case 5:12-cv-02885-LHK Document 66-2 Filed 03/01/13 Page 14 of 14

| Claim Term | Case<br>Dis. <sup>4</sup> | Clm.<br>Dis. <sup>5</sup> | Defendants'<br>Proposed<br>Construction | Supporting Evidence <sup>6</sup>   |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
|            |                           |                           |   | 3; <i>id</i> .at Dr. Udo Pooch declaration; <i>id</i> .at Mr. Samuel Wood declaration; <i>id</i> ., Sep. 28, 2004 Summary of 9/24/2004 Examiner Interview, at 3. |
|            |                           |                           |   | File History for USP 7,200,406,<br>Nov. 30, 2006 Reply to<br>Rejection, at 28-29.  |

Exhibit B to the Parties' Pat. L.R. 4-3 Statement – Defs.' Proposed Constructions & Supporting Evidence